Multidisciplinary Journal of Distance Education Studies

www.mjdesjournal.com

EDITORIAL

Volume 1(1)

Beyond the Tool: Reimagining Distance Education Pedagogy in the Age of Generative AI

Samuel Amponsah

0000-0002-4303-4863

University of Ghana

samponsah@ug.edu.gh

The field of Open and Distance e-Learning (ODeL) has always been a space defined by necessity and rapid innovation. From the early correspondence courses designed for access across vast geographic contexts to the implementation of complex Learning Management Systems (LMS), distance education has consistently placed itself at the vanguard of educational technology. The recent global pivot to remote learning in the early 2020s, a period still acutely felt across institutions, not only tested the resilience of ODeL models but also solidified their essential role in providing scalable, continuous educational access.

The inaugural volume of the *Multidisciplinary Journal of Distance Education Studies* (MJDES), published in 2022, was a timely reflection on this moment of crisis and transformation. It captured the immediate empirical realities facing the global south and the specific context of Ghana, addressing foundational issues from quality perception to digital literacy. However, as we now move beyond the immediate demands of Emergency Remote Teaching (ERT), we are confronted by a technological evolution that is not merely incremental, but existentially disruptive: the rise of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) (Adarkwah et al., 2023).

Where the pandemic era was defined by the challenge of managing the *platform* and the *transition* of content, the AI era is defined by the challenge of managing cognition, creation and credibility. Tools like Large Language Models (LLMs) are challenging the very definition of original work, human agency and the learning process itself.

Therefore, the central, defining question for every practitioner, researcher and policymaker in this field is: *How can the distance education community transition its focus from merely managing AI tools to thoughtfully reimagining the core pedagogical and ethical frameworks that govern ODeL in the AI era?* The work published in our maiden volume provides the essential foundation, highlighting

the pre-existing tensions that AI is now magnifying. This editorial argues that AI demands a multidisciplinary educational renaissance focused on assessment reform, equitable personalisation and ethical governance (van Wyk, et al., 2023).

The Existential Crisis of Assessment and the Path to Reform

The most immediate and critical point of friction between AI and education is assessment. Traditional remote assessment methods, namely, the production of standardised take-home essays, reports and knowledge-recall exams, are now functionally obsolete. AI can produce proficient, context-aware and structure-perfect responses faster and more consistently than most students. Attempting to combat this solely through increasingly invasive and expensive remote proctoring technology is a short-sighted, privacy-compromising and ultimately futile endeavour.

The genuine solution lies in assessment design reform. This shift is not entirely new; it echoes the arguments put forth by Mudau and Van Wyk (2022) in their study promoting the e-portfolio as an alternative assessment strategy for enhancing higher-order thinking skills in ODeL environments. They aptly argue that we must evaluate skills beyond simple recall.
Moreover, AI demands that ODeL institutions move rapidly toward authentic assessments and product-based evaluation. In this light, assignments must be designed to be *AI-resistant* by requiring:

1. **Localised or Contextual Application:** Tasks demanding the integration of local data, specific cultural knowledge, or policy unique to the learner's context (e.g., analysing the impact of a specific Ghanaian government educational policy).
2. **Multimodal and Embedded Reflection:** Assessment that requires students to synthesise a topic and then provide a separate, deeply personal meta-cognitive reflection on their learning process, detailing the specific tools used, the prompts they employed, and the ethical dilemmas they navigated.
3. **High-Level Synthesis and Critique:** Assignments should involve critically comparing two AI-generated responses, identifying logical fallacies, or integrating a required primary source with an AI-generated analysis, thus elevating the student's role from producer to editor and critic.

It is imperative to add that this necessary pedagogical pivot must be supported by empirical research published in MJDES, rigorously testing the validity and reliability of these new, AI-proof assessment methods, especially in culturally diverse ODeL settings.

The Promise of Equitable Personalisation and Improved Access

While AI presents an academic integrity challenge, its potential to democratise and personalise the learning experience is transformative. The core mission of ODeL, to provide quality access across geographical and logistical divides, is often hindered by the difficulty of scaling individualised support. Thus, AI offers a mechanism to bridge this gap, addressing persistent concerns regarding student experience and technological readiness.

The findings from the maiden volume already underscore the challenge of managing the sheer diversity of learner experiences. The paper by Sedofia et al. (2022), exploring the experiences of university students transitioning between in-person, virtual and blended learning, highlights the profound need for flexible and adaptive systems. Simultaneously, Odame's (2022) work on graduate students' knowledge level of the Learning Management System (LMS) reveals the essential, foundational requirement for robust digital literacy and user proficiency.

Essentially, AI can dramatically enhance this ecosystem by acting as a tireless, individualised tutor and logistical assistant via:

- **Adaptive Learning Paths:** AI can dynamically adjust the curriculum, providing remedial modules to learners struggling with a prerequisite concept, or offering advanced, enrichment material to those excelling. This targeted approach significantly improves student engagement and reduces the risk of withdrawal due to feeling overwhelmed or under-challenged.
- **Immediate and Contextualised Feedback:** AI can provide instant, personalised feedback on early drafts of assignments, focusing on grammar, structure and foundational arguments, freeing human faculty to concentrate their time on the higher-order critical thinking and nuanced guidance.
- **Support for Diverse Learners:** For institutions operating in developing contexts, AI tools capable of providing translation, generating simple explanations of complex concepts, or offering non-threatening practice opportunities can significantly improve inclusion and equity, levelling the playing field for students with varying levels of foundational knowledge or technical proficiency.

Importantly, the ethical deployment of AI in these roles must, however, be carefully measured against issues of privacy and data security. The deployment of complex systems, like the LMS discussed by Odame (2022), must now include transparent governance models for how student interaction data is used to fuel personalisation algorithms.

The Multidisciplinary Mandate and Ethical Governance

The successful integration of AI is not a technological exercise; it is a governance and ethical imperative that requires the kind of interdisciplinary cooperation this journal was founded upon. The challenges observed during the height of the crisis, such as those detailed by Osae-Kwapong (2022) in his 10 key observations on coping with school closures in Ghana, underscore that educational success is inextricably linked to societal, economic and infrastructural stability. AI introduces new variables into this complex equation.

The AI era, therefore, demands a robust dialogue across three key research pillars:

1. **Technological and Infrastructural Resilience:** Research must move beyond mere descriptive reports to analyse the actual cost-benefit and return on investment of AI systems. This is particularly crucial for institutions in the Global South, where infrastructure limitations and data poverty can exacerbate digital divides. AI tools must be lightweight, bandwidth-conscious, and scalable across diverse environments.
2. **Psychological and Pedagogical Impact:** We must, as a matter of necessity, engage in research on the long-term cognitive impact of AI co-creation. Does reliance on LLMs degrade foundational writing and critical

analysis skills? Research must assess how to maintain human agency and intellectual rigour when AI handles routine cognitive tasks.

3. **Policy, Ethics and Law:** AI's use in education creates complex legal quagmires concerning data ownership, the definition of plagiarism (especially when AI is a required co-author), and institutional responsibility. Evidently, the field requires clear, globally applicable, but locally adaptable, policies.

In conclusion, the AI revolution is not just another technology update; it is a forcing function demanding a renaissance in educational design. We can either allow AI to be a disruptive force that amplifies existing educational inequalities, or we can seize it as a profound opportunity to build a more responsive, equitable and globally competitive ODeL ecosystem.

The studies published in MJDES must continue to provide the crucial empirical anchor, moving from analysing perceptions of quality (Bawa, Odame, et al., 2022) and the transition to blended learning (Sedofia et al., 2022), to leading the global discourse on ethical AI adoption, sustainable pedagogy and responsible governance. This is the moment for multidisciplinary scholarship to steer the future of distance education.

References

Adarkwah, M. A., **Amponsah, S.,** van Wyk, M. M., Huang, R., Tlili, A., Shehata, B., Metwally, A.H.S., & Wang, H. (2023). Awareness and acceptance of ChatGPT as a generative conversational AI for transforming education by Ghanaian academics: A two-phase study. Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching, 6(2). http://journals.sfu.ca/jalt/index.php/jalt/index

Bawa, J., Odame, E. D., Odame, J., & Adingo, S. A. (2022). Learners' perception of the quality of distance education in Ghana. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Distance Education Studies*, *1*(1), 1-18.

Mudau, P. K., & Van Wyk, M. M. (2022). E-portfolio alternative assessment strategy enhancing higher-order thinking skills in an open distance learning environment. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Distance Education Studies*, *1*(1), 19-43.

Odame, J. (2022). Graduate students' knowledge level of the Learning Management System in the University of Ghana College of Humanities. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Distance Education Studies*, *1*(1), 44-59.

Osae-Kwapong, J. (2022). Coping with school closures during COVID-19 in Ghana– 10 key observations. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Distance Education Studies*, *1*(1), 60-81.

Sedofia, J., Yeboah, R., & Commey-Mintah, P. (2022). From in-person to virtual to blended learning: Exploring university students' experiences. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Distance Education Studies*, *1*(1), 82-105.

van Wyk, M. M., Adarkwah, M. A., & Amponsah, S. (2023). Why All the Hype about ChatGPT? Academics' Views of a Chat-based Conversational Learning Strategy at an Open Distance e-Learning Institution. *Open Praxis*, *15*(3), 214–225.

Author Bio:

Samuel Amponsah is a Professor and the head of the Department of Distance Education, University of Ghana. He is a fellow of the Global Challenges Research Fund and BECHS-Africa, supported by the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation. Samuel has served as a PI or Co-I for several international projects funded by the GCRF, the British Council and the Commonwealth of Learning. His research focuses on AI in Education, curriculum decolonisation, ODL and inclusive online education. Samuel is the Editor-in-Chief for the Multidisciplinary Journal of Distance Education Studies (MJDES) and has contributed as a guest editor for numerous journals and book series.